


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES



\_\_\_\_\_  
Ex parte SOON HO LEE

\_\_\_\_\_  
Application 10/668,798

\_\_\_\_\_  
ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 22, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

Upon review of the Examiner's Answer filed on May 18, 2006, there is no indication that an appeal conference has been conducted, since one of the conferees, Mr. Brent Swarthout, did not sign the Examiner's Answer.

See, § 1207.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) (8th Ed., Rev. 3, August 2005) which states:


Application 10/668,798

On the examiner's answer, below the primary examiner's signature, the word "Conferees:" should be included, followed by the typed or printed names of the other two appeal conference participants. These two appeal conference participants must place their initials next to their name. This will make the record clear that an appeal conference has been held. [Emphasis added.]

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is being electronically returned to the Examiner for taking corrective action regarding the appeal conference, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:   
DALE M. SHAW  
Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

GJH

Application 10/668,798

cc: BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030